UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Lauren Ries and Serena Algozei, | CASE NO. CV 10-01139 JF |
|---|---|
| Plaintiff(s), | CASE NO. CV 10-01139 31 |
| v. Hornell Brewing Company, Inc., et al., | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: | |
| The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: | |
| Court Processes: **MXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX | |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) | |
| Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process and provider) N/A | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR processory) other requested deadline N/A | dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.) |
| other requested deadline | |
| Dated: 06/25/10 | /s/ Joseph L. Tucker Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 06/25/10 | /s/ Robert P. Donovan Attorney for Defendant |

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

MOUTONICH A SQUIDANH-HOM

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

MENEROLE

MENE

Deadline for ADR session

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/28/10

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DISTRICT